REMARKS

Re-examination and allowance of the present application is respectfully requested.

Initially, Applicant thanks the Examiner for considering all the documents cited in Information Disclosure Statements that were filed on March 4, 2008; December 7, 2007; July 24, 2007; June 28, 2007; February 23, 2007; October 27, 2006; September 29, 2006; August 1, 2006; June 26, 2006; June 2, 2006; April 24, 2006; March 21, 2006; February 7, 2006; December 2, 2005; September 22, 2005; August 5, 2005; April 6, 2005; March 8, 2005; January 21, 2005; December 23, 2004; November 24, 2004; October 14, 2004; October 1, 2004; August 18, 2004; and June 30, 2004 in this application. In this regard, Applicant has reviewed the completed PTO-1449 Forms returned by the Examiner, and confirmed that the documents crossed out on the various forms are duplicate citations.

Applicant notes that the Examiner has inadvertently failed to indicate the acceptability of the submitted drawings. Absent an indication to the contrary by the Examiner in the next official communication, Applicant believes that the filed drawings are acceptable.

The Examiner requested that Applicant update the continuation data noted in the specification of the present application. By the current amendment, Applicant updates the specification to indicate that U.S. Patent Application No. 10/175,961 issued as U.S. Patent 6,768,772, and that U.S. Patent Application No. 9/281,813 issued as U.S. Patent 6,873,652.

Claim 23 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as failing to provide a proper antecedent basis for the phrase "the non-standard information field". By the current amendment, Applicant amends claim 23 to depend from claim 22. In view of the current

amendment, Applicant submits that the ground for the 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph rejection no longer exists, and respectfully requests withdrawal of this ground of rejection.

Claims 1-5, 8-14, 17-21, 23-27 (which Applicant believes should have been 24-27), 30 and 31 stand rejected under the judicially created non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection as being obvious over claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 16, 17, 19, 21 and 22 of U.S. Patent 6,768,772 to PALM. While Applicant disagrees with the appropriateness of this rejection, Applicant herewith submits a Terminal Disclaimer to remove this issue. The filing of the Terminal Disclaimer is made to advance the application to issue, and should not be taken as an acquiescence of the appropriateness of the Examiner's rejection. In view of the filing of the Terminal Disclaimer, Applicant submits that the ground for this rejection no longer exists, and respectfully requests that it be withdrawn.

Applicant notes that no prior art rejection has been set forth against claims 1-5, 8-14, 17-21, 23-27, 30 and 31, and that the Examiner noted that claims 6, 7, 15, 16, 22, 23, 28 and 29 (which are not subject to the non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection) contain allowable subject matter. In view of the present amendment, Applicant submits that all of the claims in the application (e.g., claims 1-31) are allowable over the prior art of record, and respectfully requests such an indication from the Examiner in the next official communication.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In view of the fact that none of the art of record, whether considered alone or in combination, discloses or suggests the present invention as now defined by the pending claims, and in further view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the Examiner's action and allowance of the present application are respectfully requested and are believed to be appropriate.

Should the Commissioner determine that an extension of time is required in order to render this response timely and/or complete, a formal request for an extension of time, under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a), is herewith made in an amount equal to the time period required to render this response timely and/or complete. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any required extension of time fee under 37 C.F.R. §1.17 to Deposit Account No. 19-0089.

If there should be any questions concerning this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully Submitted, Stephen PALM

Bruce H. Bernstein Reg. No. 29,027

July 3, 2008 GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 Roland Clarke Place Reston, VA 20191 (703) 716-1191

Steven Wegman Reg. No. 31,438